Munich Cancer Registry



- ▶ Survival
- ▶ Selection Matrix
- ▶ Homepage

Munich Cancer Registry at Munich Cancer Center Marchioninistr. 15 Munich, 81377 Germany

http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en

Cancer statistics: Baseline statistics

C53-C55: Uterine cancer

Year of diagnosis	1998-2011
Patients	9886
Diseases	9924
Creation date	04/02/2013
Export date	01/03/2013
Population (females)	2.3 m



http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en/facts/base/base_C5355E.pdf

Global Statements about the statistics on the Internet – Baseline Statistics (grey button ——), Survival (red button ——)

In these analyses, the clinics and physicians of Upper Bavaria and the city and county of Landshut[#], with a total of 4.5 million inhabitants, account for the frequency of cancer diseases^{##} and the achieved long term results. Additionally, the long term survival evaluated by the Munich Cancer Registry (MCR) is compared with the results of the population-based registry in the USA (SEER), which is useful for checking the consistency of the data on an international level.

In comparing several tables, inconsistent figures may be detected. This is based on the fact that different patient cohorts are included in the base calculation, for example when proportions of multiple tumors or DCO-cases**** are concerned. In other cases the individual tumor diagnosis is the basis for calculation, for example with incidence.

The foot notes describe the currentness of the data. The baseline statistics and survival data are updated annually. This yearly analysis comprises the Annual Report of the MCR. The time-delayed acquisition of data and the occasionally high DCO-rates indicate optimizing reserves, among others, because of current financial and legal conditions that hinder the analyses.

Clinics and physicians have access to essentially more detailed data, with which they can check, compare and in the best case optimize their own data and results.

We would be pleased to receive corrections, critique and useful suggestions. Just send an e-mail to tumor@ibe.med.uni-muenchen.de.

Munich Cancer Registry, April 2013

- Base data has been collected since 1998. An increase in new diseases is apparent, which is an effect of two extensions in the MCR catchment area (from a base population of 2.51 million to 3.96 in 2002, and to 4.52 million in 2007). Death certificates from 2011 are incorporated into these analyses.
- Due to the high frequency and good prognosis of non-malignant skin cancer (C44), no systematic ascertainment is performed for this diagnosis. C44 is not designated as a primary, but rather as a secondary tumor.
- ^{###} DCO (death certificate only) identifies a cancer case that first becomes available to the MCR through the death certificate. A high proportion of DCO cases (≥5%) in particular cancer types indicate insufficient participation of specific cancer specializations.



INCIDENCE

Table 1

Patient cohorts by year of diagnosis including DCO cases and multiple primaries, and with proportion of deaths and active follow-up

				Prop.		Prop.
		DCO	Prop.	mult.	Prop.	actively
Year of	Cases #	cases	DCO	primaries	deaths	followed
diagnosis	n	n	%	96	%	%
1998	482	19 /	3.9	24.9	48.5	94.6
1999	493	19	3.9	21.7	47.5	96.6
2000	466	19	4.1	22.1	42.9	97.2
2001	501	26	5.2	23.8	46.1	94.8
2002	755	47	6.2	20.3	43.7	96.0
2003	763	52	6.8	22.3	42.5	94.4
2004	751	48	6.4	21.2	42.5	95.6
2005	787	37	4.7	19.7	37.5	94.0
2006	745	25	3.4	17.2	32.6	91.4
2007	865	45	5.2	20.5	35.5	79.0 ##
2008	892	36	4.0	19.5	30.4	55.5
2009	861	29	3.4	18.2	26.8	60.6
2010	800	40	5.0	18.3	22.1	92.1
2011	763	25	3.3	17.4	14.9	73.1 ###
1998-2011	9924	467	4.7	20.2	35.4	85.0

[#] The increases of incident cases in 2002 and 2007 reflect the expansion to additional registry areas.

^{##} Since 2007 the percentage of actively followed patients sharply declined compared to the previous years. This is a consequence of ambiguous data protection rules that currently forbid cancer registries in Bavaria to obtain the essential life status informations from competent registration offices.

^{###} Please be aware that data of recent annual patient cohorts may not yet be fully processed. Therefore, the presented figures and tables are potentially related to different time periods as pointed out in the respective headlines or legends.

Table 2

Incidence measures by year of diagnosis and gender including DCO cases (with respect to registry area expansion from 2.51 to 3.96 m as of 2002, and from 3.96 to 4.52 m as of 2007, respectively)

Year of	Cases	Incidence	Incidence	Incidence	Incidence
diagnosis	n	raw	WS	ES	BRD-S
1998	482	41/.0	22.8	31.3	36.7
1999	493	41.5	22.8	31.2	36.5
2000	466	38.8	21.4	29.3	34.4
2001	501	41.2	22.3	30.7	36.1
2002	755	38.6	20.6	28.3	33.3
2003	763	38.7	20.4	28.4	33.1
2004	751	38.0	19.8	27.6	32.4
2005	787	39.6	21.0	28.7	33.3
2006	745	37.1	19.7	27.0	31.4
2007	865	37.5	19.9	27.4	31.8
2008	892	38.4	20.4	27.9	32.3
2009	861	37.0	19.9	27.3	31.3
2010	800	34.2	17.6	24.4	28.7
2011	763	32.6	17.1	23.6	27.4
1998-2011	9924	37.7	20.1	27.6	32.2



The computation of the incidence measures includes all primaries, irrespective of first or subsequent malignancy.

Table 3

Age distribution parameters by year of diagnosis (incl. DCO)

Year of	Cases		Std.					Median		
diagnosis	n	Mean	dev.	Min.	Max.	10%	25%	50%	75%	90%
1998	482	63.5	14.6	23.8	95.5	42.8	53.6	64.2	74.5	82.5
1999	493	63.3	15.8	24.4	99.9	39.5	52.8	64.3	75.3	83.1
2000	466	62.8	14.9	23,9	93.1	40.3	53.7	63.4	75.1	80.7
2001	501	64.2	15,1	26.3	96.0	41.3	54.6	64.5	75.0	82.6
2002	755	64.3	14.9	26.4	96.1	41.4	54.8	65.8	75.8	82.6
2003	763	65.2	14.6	27.3	99.4	44.1	56.0	65.3	76.3	83.6
2004	751	65.0	14.6	21.0	99.8	43.6	55.7	65.8	76.1	83.1
2005	787	64.6	14.8	24.0	100	41.9	55.4	66.2	74.3	83.7
2006	745	64.4	15.1	22.9	99.4	42.8	54.0	65.5	75.3	83.6
2007	865	64.2	14.9	22.0	99.2	42.1	54.6	66.2	74.8	82.9
2008	892	64.2	14.6	24.0	99.2	43.6	53.9	66.7	73.9	82.6
2009	861	64.2	14.8	23.1	102	42.4	54.3	65.2	74.4	83.3
2010	800	65.0	14.8	25.1	98.7	43.6	54.8	67.0	75.7	84.1
2011	763	64.2	14.9	25.7	95.5	42.7	54.4	66.8	74.7	83.3
1998-2011	9924	64.3	14.9	21.0	102	42.5	54.6	65.7	75.0	83.2

Table 4 $\label{eq:Age_distribution} \mbox{Age distribution by 5-year age group for period 1998-2011} \mbox{ (incl. DCO)}$

Age at			
diagnosis	Cases		
Years	n	%	Cum.%
20-24	11	0.1	0.1
25-29	88	0.9	1.0
30-34	219	2.2	3.2
35-39	409	4.1	7.3
40-44	545	5.5	12.8
45-49	554	5.6	18.4
50-54	747	7.5	25.9
55-59	979	9.9	35.8
60-64	1232	12.4	48.2
65-69	1339	13.5	61.7
70-74	1314	13.2	74.9
75-79	971	9.8	84.7
80-84	796	8.0	92.7
85+	720	7.3	100.0
All ages	9924	100.0	

Included in the statistics are 23.4% multiple primaries.

Table 5

Age-specific incidence, DCO rate and proportion of all cancers for period 1998-2011

Age at			DCO rate	Prop. all cancers	
diagnosis	Cases	Age-spec.	n=466	n=129521	
Years	n	incidence	96	%	
0- 4		0.0			
5- 9		0.0			
10-14		0.0			
15-19		0.0			
20-24	11	0.7		2.5	
25-29	87	5.0		9.5	
30-34	219	11.6		12.6	
35-39	409	19.8	0.2	12.5	
40-44	545	25.7	0.7	10.3	
45-49	550	28.7	2.0	7.6	
50-54	746	43.5	0.7	8.1	
55-59	978	59.7	0.9	8.3	
60-64	1231	76.8	0.7	8.3	
65-69	1336	89.7	1.6	8.2	
70-74	1308	106.0	3.3	8.7	
75-79	969	97.4	6.8	6.6	
80-84	793	99.7	12.0	5.9	
85+	719	96.8	28.1	4.9	
All ages	9901		4.7	7.6	
Incidence					
Raw		37.6			
WS		20.0			
ws ES		27.6			
ES BRD-S					
BRD-5		32.1			

The age-specific incidence characterizes the disease risk in a particular age group. The age distribution depends on the patient population frequency in each age group and reflects the tangible clinical picture of everyday patients care (see following chart).

Table 6

Standardized incidence ratio (SIR, with 95% confidence limits), excess absolute risk (EAR) and DCO rate of second primaries for period 1998-2011

	Observed E	Expected		LCL	UCL		DCO
Diagnosis	n	n	SIR	95%	95%	EAR	%
C03-C06 Oral cavity	4	1.8	2.2	0.6	5.6	0.7	
C09-C10 Oropharynx	3 /	1.3	2.3	0.5	6.7	0.6	
C16 Stomach	8	11.3	0.7	0.3	1.4	-1.1	37.5
C17 Small intestine	7/	1.3	5.2	2.1	10.8 #	1.9	
C18 Colon	67	31.0	2.2	1.7	2.7 #	12.1	11.9
C19-C20 Rectum	28	13.7	2.0	1.4	2.9 #	4.8	14.3
C21 Anus/canal	5	1.6	3.1	1.0	7.2 #	1.1	20.0
C22 Liver	8	3.3	2.4	1.0	4.7 #	1.6	12.5
C23-C24 Bile	8	4.5	1.8	0.8	3.5	1.2	12.5
C25 Pancreas	23	12.8	1.8	1.1	2.7 #	3.4	43.5
C26 GI cancer	3	0.5	5.6	1.2	16.4 #	0.8	66.7
C33-C34 Lung	72	21.2	3.4	2.7	4.3 #	17.0	13.9
C38,C45 Mesothelioma	2	0.6	3.6		13.0	0.5	
C43 Malign. melanoma	16	10.7	1.5	0.9	2.4	1.8	
C46,C49 Soft tissue	7	1.7	4.0	1.6	8.3 #	1.8	
C48 Peritoneal	3	0.9	3.2	0.7	9.5	0.7	
C50 Breast	206	95.6	2.2	_1.9	2.5 #	37.0	4.4
C51 Vulva	10	2.8	3.5	1.7	6.5 #	2.4	
C52 Vagina	8	0.6	13.7	5.9	27.0 #	2.5	
C53 Cervix uteri	10	4.6	2.2	1.0	4.0 #	1.8	40.0
C54 Corpus uteri	19	17.4	1.1	0.7	1.7	0.6	36.8
C56 Ovary	153	13.2	11.6	9.8	13.6 #	46.8	13.1
C64 Kidney	15	7.7	2.0	1.1	3.2 #	2.5	6.7
C65 Renal pelvis	4	0.9	4.6	1.3	11.8 #	1.1	
C67 Bladder	17	5.4	3.1	1.8	5.0 #	3.9	11.8
C70-C72 CNS cancer	7	4.5	1.6	0.6	3.2	0.8	28.6
C73 Thyroid	14	6.1	2.3	1.3	3.9 #	2.7	
C76-C79 CUP	11	5.2	2.1	1.1	3.8 #	1.9	9.1
C82-C85 NHL	22	11.6	1.9	1.2	2.9 #	3.5	4.5
C90 Mult. myeloma	2	3.8	0.5	0.1	1.9	-0.6	50.0
C91-C96 Leukaemia	11	4.6	2.4	1.2	4.2 #	2.1	36.4
Other primaries	10	4.9	2.1	1.0	3.8	1.7	10.0
Not observed	0	3.3	0.0	0.0	1.1	-1.1	
All mult. primaries	783	310.5	2.5	2.3	2.7 #	158.3	11.9

Patients	7223
Mean age at second malignancy (years)	69.0
Person-years	29853
Mean observation time (years)	4.1
Median observation time (years)	3.3

The occurrence of second malignancy is statistically significant.

Observed second malignancy with count 1 are pooled in category "Other primaries".




Figure 7. Age distribution and age-specific incidence



C53-C55: Malignant neoplasm of uterus




Figure 7a. Age-specific incidence in MCR registry areas compared to SEER (Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results, USA).



Reference:

Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER 18 Regs Research Data, released April 2012, based on the November 2011 submission. http://www.seer.cancer.gov.




Figure 8. Cumulative follow-up years depending on time since diagnosis

The increase of the lost to follow-up rate can be interpreted as a consequence of a declining number of survivors over time.



Average incidence (world standard population) 2003 - 2008




Figure 9a. Map of cancer incidence (world standard population, incl. DCO cases) by county averaged for period 2003 to 2008. According to their individual incidence rates, the counties are displayed in different red and blue color temperatures where the fine white color indicates the population mean (20.0/100,000 WS N=4,564). Since cancer data are not available in some counties until 2007, the local incidence rates were not calculated, and the map tiles show as shaded.

The results should be interpreted with caution! E.g., in county Ebersberg with a population of 63,131 female residents (averaged) in the period from 2003 to 2008 a total of 144 women were identified with newly diagnosed uterine cancer. Therefore, the mean incidence rate for this cancer type in this area can be calculated at 20.1/100,000 (world standard population). Though, the value of this parameter may vary with an underlying probability of 99% between 15.8 and 25.5/100,000.



Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) 2003 - 2008





Figure 9b. Map of standardized incidence ratio (SIR, incl. DCO cases) by county averaged for period 2003 to 2008. According to their individual SIR values, the counties are displayed in different red and blue color temperatures where the fine white color indicates the population overall of 1.0 (N=4,564). Since cancer data are not available in some counties until 2007, the local SIR values were not calculated, and the map tiles show as shaded.

The results should be interpreted with caution! E.g., in county Ebersberg with a population of 63,131 female residents (averaged) in the period from 2003 to 2008 a total of 144 women were identified with newly diagnosed uterine cancer. Therefore, the mean standardized incidence ratio (SIR) for this cancer type in this area can be calculated at 1.05. Though, the value of this parameter may vary with an underlying probability of 99% between 0.84 and 1.29, and is therefore not statistically striking.



MORTALITY

Table 10a

Patient cohorts of incident cancers by year of diagnosis, follow-up status, proportion of DCO, deaths among the annual cohorts, and proportion of available death certificates (with respect to registry area expansion from 2.51 to 3.96 m as of 2002, and from 3.96 to 4.52 m as of 2007, respectively)

		Prop.				Prop. deaths
	Incident	actively	Prop.		Prop.	with death
Year of	cases	followed	DCO	Deaths	deaths	certific.
diagnosis	n	%	%	/ n /	%	%
1998	482	94.6	3.9	234	48.5	90.6
1999	493	96.6	3.9	234	47.5	93.2
2000	466	97.2	4.1	200	42.9	95.5
2001	501	94.8	5.2	231	46.1	94.8
2002	755	96.0	6.2	330	43.7	97.0
2003	763	94.4	6.8	324	42.5	97.8
2004	751	95.6	6.4	319	42.5	96.9
2005	787	94.0	4.7	295	37.5	96.6
2006	745	91.4	3.4	243	32.6	99.6
2007	865	79.0	5.2	307	35.5	97.4
2008	892	55.5	4.0	271	30.4	98.9
2009	861	60.6	3.4	231	26.8	98.3
2010	800	92.1	5.0	177	22.1	97.2
2011	763	73.1	3.3	114	14.9	94.7
1998-2011	9924	85.0	4.7	3510	35.4	96.5

Table 10b

Annual cohorts of incident cancers and deaths, proportion of death certificates and cases deceased the same year of cancer diagnosis (incl. DCO)

			Prop. deaths		Prop.
Year of	Incident		with death	Deaths in	deaths in
diagnosis/	cases	Deaths	certific.	same year	same year
death	n	n	%	n	%
1998	482	238	89.5	44	9.1
1999	493	256	91.4	45	9.1
2000	466	266	92.9	46	9.9
2001	501	229	91.7	43	8.6
2002	755	387	95.6	82	10.9
2003	763	467	96.4	93	12.2
2004	751	423	96.9	82	10.9
2005	787	438	95.0	72	9.1
2006	745	416	96.2	55	7.4
2007	865	493	97.0	93	10.8
2008	892	486	99.0	73	8.2
2009	861	516	98.8	72	8.4
2010	800	527	98.7	81	10.1
2011	763	558	97.5	69	9.0
1998-2011	9924	5700	96.2	950	9.6

Table 10c

Annual cohorts of deaths, proportion of cancer-related and not cancer-related deaths, and cancer recorded on death certificates (incl. DCO)

(with respect to registry area expansion from 2.51 to 3.96 m as of 2002, and from 3.96 to 4.52 m as of 2007, respectively)

				Prop.	
				cancer	
		Prop.	Prop.	recorded	
		cancer-	not cancer-	on death	
Year of	Deaths	related	related	certificate	
death	n /	૾ૢ	%	%	
1998	238	57.1	42.9	77.0	
1999	256	60.5	39.5	75.2	
2000	266	59.0	41.0	72.1	
2001	229	50.2	49.8	72.9	
2002	387	62.8	37.2	76.5	
2003	467	64.5	35.5	77.1	
2004	423	63.8	36.2	74.1	
2005	438	63.7	36.3	74.8	
2006	416	59.6	40.4	71.8	
2007	493	62.3	37.7	70.7	
2008	486	62.1	37.9	69.6	
2009	516	58.9	41.1	67.3	
2010	527	63.8	36.2	72.1	
2011	558	60.8	39.2	69.9	
1998-2011	5700	61.3	38.7	72.5	

Table 11

Means of age at death according to the grouping in Table 10

					Age at
		Age at	Age at	Age at	death
		death	death	death	(according
		(all	(cancer-	(not cancer-	to death
Year of	Deaths	causes)	related)	related)	certificate)
death	n	Years	Years	Years	Years
1998	238	74.9	70.7	80.4	73.7
1999	256	76.6	73.3	81.6	75.9
2000	266	76.8	73.4	81.8	74.9
2001	229	76.6	71.9	81.3	75.1
2002	387	75.9	71.7	83.0	73.7
2003	467	75.7	72.1	82.3	74.0
2004	423	75.8	71.9	82.8	73.5
2005	438	76.6	72.8	83.2	74.3
2006	416	76.8	72.6	83.0	74.6
2007	493	77.3	72.9	84.5	74.7
2008	486	76.2	71.1	84.6	72.6
2009	516	77.1	71.9	84.5	73.3
2010	527	76.3	72.0	83.8	73.3
2011	558	77.2	72.8	83.9	74.0
1998-2011	5700	76.5	72.2	83.2	74.0



Deaths of patients are considered to be cancer-related, in case that fact was recorded on the death certificate, or patients had suffered from metastasis or recurrence.

Table 12

Mortality measures (cancer-related death) and mortality-incidence-index by year of death

Voor of	Dootha	Mont	MT Tador	Mont	MT Indox	Mont	MT Indox	Mont	MT Thdor
Year of			MI-Index						
death	n	raw	raw	WS	WS	ES	ES	BRD-S	BRD-S
1998	136	11.6	0.28	5.3	0.23	7.7	0.25	9.9	0.27
1999	155	13.1	0.32	5.3	0.23	8.1	0.26	10.9	0.30
2000	157	13.1	0.34	5.1	0.24	7.9	0.27	10.8	0.31
2001	115	9.5	0.23	4.0	0.18	5.9	0.19	7.8	0.22
2002	243	12.4	0.32	5.3	0.26	7.9	0.28	10.2	0.31
2003	301	15.3	0.39	6.4	0.32	9.7	0.34	12.5	0.38
2004	270	13.7	0.36	5.8	0.29	8.7	0.31	11.1	0.34
2005	279	14.0	0.35	5.6	0.27	8.4	0.29	10.8	0.32
2006	248	12.3	0.33	4.9	0.25	7.4	0.27	9.7	0.31
2007	307	13.3	0.35	5.2	0.26	7.8	0.29	10.1	0.32
2008	302	13.0	0.34	5.4	0.27	8.0	0.29	10.1	0.32
2009	304	13.1	0.35	5.3	0.27	7.9	0.29	10.0	0.32
2010	336	14.4	0.42	5.7	0.33	8.5	0.35	10.8	0.38
2011	339	14.5	0.45	5.6	0.33	8.5	0.36	10.9	0.40
1998-2011	3492	13.3	0.35	5.4	0.27	8.1	0.29	10.4	0.33

Table 13

Age distribution of age at death (cancer-related) for period 1998-2011 (incl. multiple primaries)

Age at				
death	Cases			
Years	n	%	Cum.%	
20-24	1	0.0	0.0	
25-29	5	0.1	0.2	
30-34	/ 19	0.5	0.7	
35-39	46	1.3	2.0	
40-44	97	2.7	4.8	
45-49	119	3.4	8.1	
50-54	152	4.3	12.4	
55-59	217	6.2	18.6	
60-64	278	7.9	26.5	
65-69	430	12.2	38.7	
70-74	475	13.5	52.1	
75-79	493	14.0	66.1	
80-84	563	16.0	82.1	
85+	633	17.9	100.0	
All ages	3528	100.0		

Included in the statistics are 23.4% multiple primaries.

Table 14

Age-specific mortality (cancer-related) and proportion of all cancers for period 1998-2011 (incl. multiple primaries)

Age at death	Cases	Age-spec.		Prop. all cancers	
Years	n	mortality	MI-index	%	
rears	11	mortarity	MI-Index	6	
0- 4		0.0			
5- 9		0.0			
10-14		0.0			
15-19		0.0			
20-24	/ 1 /	0.1	0.09	2.3	
25-29	5 /	0.3	0.06	4.9	
30-34	19	1.0	0.09	9.4	
35-39	46	2.2	0.11	10.1	
40-44	97	4.6	0.18	9.7	
45-49	119	6.2	0.21	6.9	
50-54	152	8.9	0.20	5.8	
55-59	217	13.2	0.22	5.3	
60-64	278	17.3	0.23	5.0	
65-69	430	28.9	0.32	6.1	
70-74	475	38.5	0.36	5.9	
75-79	493	49.6	0.51	5.5	
80-84	563	70.8	0.71	5.9	
85+	633	85.2	0.88	5.6	
All ages	3528			5.8	
Mortality					
Raw		13.4	0.36		
WS		5.4	0.27		
ES		8.2	0.30		
BRD-S		10.6	0.33		
PYLL-70					
per 100,000		72.5			
ES		61.9			
AYLL-70		12.0			

The rates underestimate the prognosis if other synchronous cancers are prognostic unfavorable.

Table 15

Multiple primaries in deaths in period 1998-2011

	Total	Total	Pre	Pre	Syn- chron ±30d	Syn- chron ±30d	Post	Post
Diagnosis	n	%↓	n	← %	n	~ %	n	~%
C03-C06 Oral cavity	1/1	0.6	3	27.3			8	72.7
C16 Stomach	53	3.0	4	7.5	4	7.5	45	84.9
C18 Colon	159	9.0	34	21.4	15	9.4	110	69.2
C19-C20 Rectum	110	6.3	29	26.4	2	1.8	79	71.8
C21 Anus/canal	19	/ 1.1	5	26.3			14	73.7
C22 Liver	19	1.1	2	10.5	3	15.8	14	73.7
C23-C24 Bile	22	1.3	2	9.1			20	90.9
C25 Pancreas	62	3.5	1	1.6	2	3.2	59	95.2
C33-C34 Lung	171	9.7	13	7.6	10	5.8	148	86.5
C43 Malign. melanoma	41	2.3	21	51.2			20	48.8
C44 Skin others	39	2.2	15	38.5	4	10.3	20	51.3
C46,C49 Soft tissue	13	0.7	3	23.1			10	76.9
C50 Breast	392	22.3	184	46.9	35	8.9	173	44.1
C51 Vulva	33	1.9	4	12.1	5	15.2	24	72.7
C52 Vagina	27	1.5	2	7.4	7	25.9	18	66.7
C53 Cervix uteri	13	0.7			1	7.7	12	92.3
C54 Corpus uteri	27	1.5			_ 5	18.5	22	81.5
C55,C57 Fem. genitals un	15	0.9			2	13.3	13	86.7
C56 Ovary	173	9.8	15	8.7	82	47.4	76	43.9
C64 Kidney	31	1.8	8	25.8	4	12.9	19	61.3
C65 Renal pelvis	10	0.6	3	30.0			7	70.0
C67 Bladder	89	5.1	13	14.6	8	9.0	68	76.4
C70-C72 CNS cancer	35	2.0	9	25.7	1 \	2.9	25	71.4
C73 Thyroid	11	0.6	2	18.2			9	81.8
C76-C79 CUP	34	1.9	5	14.7	1	2.9	28	82.4
C82-C85 NHL	32	1.8	9	28.1	2	6.3	21	65.6
C91-C96 Leukaemia	38	2.2	3	7.9	4	10.5	31	81.6
Other primaries	78	4.4	20	25.6	5	6.4	53	67.9
All mult. primaries	1757	100.0	409	23.3	202	11.5	1146	65.2

Multiple primaries with number of cases n<10 are pooled in category "Other primaries".

ICD-10 C44 (Other malignant neoplasms of skin) is not systematically recorded by MCR and therefore not considered for evaluation as a particular primary but at least as a multiple malignancy.

Table 16

Age-specific mortality (cancer-related) and proportion of all cancers for period 1998-2011

(Singular primaries only *)

Age at				Prop. all	
death		Age-spec.		cancers	
Years	n / n	nortality	MI-index	%	
0- 4		0.0			
5- 9		0.0			
10-14		0.0			
15-19		0.0			
20-24	/ 1 /	0.1	0.09	2.6	
25-29	5	0.3	0.06	5.2	
30-34	15	0.8	0.07	8.4	
35-39	44	2.1	0.11	10.6	
40-44	89	4.2	0.17	10.2	
45-49	104	5.4	0.21	6.9	
50-54	130	7.6	0.20	5.8	
55-59	193	11.8	0.22	5.6	
60-64	236	14.7	0.22	5.1	
65-69	343	23.0	0.29	6.0	
70-74	392	31.8	0.36	6.1	
75-79	404	40.6	0.50	5.6	
80-84	457	57.5	0.71	6.0	
85+	522	70.3	0.91	5.6	
031	322	70.3	0.31	3.0	
All ages	2935			5.9	
Mortality					
Raw		11.2	0.34		
WS		4.6	0.26		
ES		6.8	0.28		
BRD-S		8.8	0.32		
PYLL-70					
per 100,000		63.6			
ES		54.4			
AYLL-70		12.4			

^{*} See corresponding tables with multiple primaries.

Table 17

Age-specific mortality (cancer-related) and proportion of all cancers for period 1998-2011

(Single primaries only *)

Age at death Years		Age-spec. mortality	MI-index	Prop. all cancers	
0- 4		0.0			
5- 9		0.0			
10-14		0.0			
15-19		0.0			
20-24	/ 1 /	0.1	0.09	2.8	
25-29	5	0.3	0.06	5.6	
30-34	/ 15 🗸	0.8	0.07	9.2	
35-39	42	2.0	0.11	11.0	
40-44	81	3.8	0.16	10.1	
45-49	88	4.6	0.19	6.5	
50-54	107	6.2	0.18	5.4	
55-59	150	9.2	0.19	4.9	
60-64	182	11.4	0.18	4.5	
65-69	245	16.5	0.23	5.0	
70-74	258	20.9	0.26	4.7	
75-79	259	26.0	0.36	4.2	
80-84	276	34.7	0.47	4.3	
85+	358	48.2	0.66	4.5	
All ages	2067			4.8	
Mortality					
Raw		7.9	0.26		
WS		3.4	0.21		
ES		5.0	0.22		
BRD-S		6.3	0.24		
PYLL-70					
per 100,000		53.7			
ES		46.0			
AYLL-70		13.2			

^{*} See corresponding tables with multiple primaries.

C53-C55: Malignant neoplasm of uterus Age distribution and age-specific mortality 1998 - 2011 (n=3492)




Figure 18. Distribution of age at death (bars) and age-specific mortality (all patients: solid line, patients with single primaries: dotted line). The age-specific incidence is additionally plotted for comparison (dashed line).

The difference between age at diagnosis (Table 3) and age at uterine cancer-related death (see Table 10) should be considered.

Average mortality (world standard population) 2003 - 2008





Figure 19a. Map of cancer mortality (world standard population) by county averaged for period 2003 to 2008. According to their individual mortality rates, the counties are displayed in different red and blue color temperatures where the fine white color indicates the population mean (5.5/100,000 WS N=1,632). Since cancer data are not available in some counties until 2007, the local mortality rates were not calculated, and the map tiles show as shaded.

The results should be interpreted with caution! E.g., in county Ebersberg with a population of 63,131 female residents (averaged) in the period from 2003 to 2008 a total of 56 women died from uterine cancer. Therefore, the mean mortality rate for this cancer type in this area can be calculated at 5.9/100,000 (world standard population). Though, the value of this parameter may vary with an underlying probability of 99% between 3.9 and 8.7/100,000.



Standardized mortality ratio (SMR) 2003 - 2008





Figure 19b. Map of standardized mortality ratio (SMR, incl. DCO cases) by county averaged for period 2003 to 2008. According to their individual SMR values, the counties are displayed in different red and blue color temperatures where the fine white color indicates the population overall of 1.0 (N=1,632). Since cancer data are not available in some counties until 2007, the local SMR values were not calculated, and the map tiles show as shaded.

The results should be interpreted with caution! E.g., in county Ebersberg with a population of 63,131 female residents (averaged) in the period from 2003 to 2008 a total of 56 women died from uterine cancer. Therefore, the mean standardized mortality ratio (SMR) for this cancer type in this area can be calculated at 1.19. Though, the value of this parameter may vary with an underlying probability of 99% between 0.82 and 1.66, and is therefore not statistically striking.



Statistical Notes

In all tables and figures the respective reference values should be carefully considered. The incidence rates include diagnoses (with multiple primary), and death certificate only (DCO) cases. For mortality statistics patients, diagnoses and progressive course of disease are presented. In the calculations, all courses of disease are considered whereby progressions occurred and/or death certificate identified progressive cancers were ascertained. Additionally there are three groups of disease course to consider:

1. All multiple primaries included

The mortality statistic describes the tumor-specific death, independent of any malignancy. The patient perspective, induced secondary malignancies, and the problem of multiple malignancies from the same primary tumor all have reasons for their inclusion.

2. First singular primary (no information about other prior or synchronous malignancy)

The mortality statistic describes the tumor-related death for patients who have no therapeutic restrictions due to a previous or synchronous cancer. These statistics are comparable to studies that have exclusion criteria based on a second malignancy.

3. Single primary (no information about other prior, syn- or metachronous malignancy)

The mortality statistic describes the tumor-specific death that occurs without any impact through secondary primaries, earlier, synchronous, later or induced. Precisely the difference between disease group 1 and 2 highlight the magnitude of the problem of secondary malignancies.

For this reason differences appear concerning official mono-causal mortality statistics. To judge the maximum deviation, 2 further tables are presented. In the first table the distribution of secondary malignancies before, at or after the described cancer are shown, that could be an alternative cause of death. In the second table, the age-specific mortality rates for all courses of disease, without designation of secondary malignancies are shown.

A previously minimally acknowledged statistic is the **age at death**, which allows for a good assessment of the quality of classification of the apparent tumor-specific death. For assumed tumor-independent deaths, the age of death should be estimated from the age of diagnosis and the normal life expectancy, whereas tumor-dependent deaths can be estimated from the age of diagnosis plus the average tumor-specific life expectancy. The comparison of different tumors demonstrates this association, if the causes of cancer and the competing cause of death are independent of each other (e.g. breast and colon versus head/neck and lung).

The index from mortality and incidence (Mortality-Incidence ratio, **MI-index**) is a statistic that allows for the evaluation of the quality of data. For diseases with poor prognoses, comparable values are obtained from all age groups, because to a large extent, the numerator and denominator contain the same cases. For tumors with a good prognosis, increasing and decreasing incidence and age-specific differences in prognosis can more strongly alter the MI- index. Additionally, attention should be paid to the confidence intervals where fewer cases are reported.

The complexity of problems identified here emphasizes the importance of relative survival data for the appropriate analysis of long term results.

As a measurement of the burden of disease, the number of potential life years loss due to premature deaths in a cohort can be calculated (**PYLL**, potential years of life lost, standardized per 100,000 persons or per European standard) as well as the average loss of life years per individual (**AYLL**, average years of life lost). Depending upon the analytic aim (health economy, prevention, health care research) different methods exist for the generation of these measurements. In the results presented here, the age for a premature death is considered to be before 70 years, according to the guidelines of the OECD and the WHO (as seen in the abbreviation PYLL-70 or AYLL-70).

Shortcuts

AYLL-70 Average years of life lost prior to age 70 given a person dies before that age

BRD-S German standard population

DCO Death certificate only EAR Excess absolute risk

= excess cancer cases (O - E) per 10,000 person-years

ES European standard population (old) FRG Federal Republic of Germany

GEKID Association of Population-based Cancer Registries in Germany

(Gesellschaft der epidemiologischen Krebsregister in Deutschland e.V.)

LCL Lower confidence limit

MI-index Ratio between mortality and incidence

MCR Munich Cancer Registry (Tumorregister München)

PYLL-70 Potential years of life lost prior to age 70 given a person dies before that age

SEER Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (USA)

SIR Standardized incidence ratio
SMR Standardized mortality ratio
UCL Upper confidence limit
WS World standard population

Recommended Citation

Munich Cancer Registry. Baseline statistics C53-C55: Uterine cancer [Internet]. 2013 [updated 2013 Apr 2; cited 2013 Jun 1]. Available from: http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en/facts/base_C5355E.pdf

Copyright

The content of the public web site provided by the Munich Cancer Registry is available worldwide and free of charge. All documents are free to download, utilize, copy, print-out and distribute, providing that the MCR is referenced.

Disclaimer

The Munich Cancer Registry reserves the right to not be responsible for the topicality, correctness, completeness or quality of the information provided. Liability claims regarding damage caused by the use of any information provided, including any kind of information which is incomplete or incorrect, will therefore be rejected.

Index of figures and tables

Fig./Tbl		Page
1	Pts cohorts, DCO, mult. prim., follow-up / yr	3
2	Incidence by year of diagnosis	4
3	Age distribution parameters by year of diagnosis	5
4	Age distribution by 5-year age group	6
5	Age-specific incidence and DCO rate	7
6	Standardized incidence ratio of second primaries	8
7	Age distribution and age-specific incidence (chart)	9
7a	Age-specific incidence internationally (chart)	10
8	Cumulative follow-up years (chart)	11
9a	Map of cancer incidence (WS) by county (chart)	12
9b	Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) by county (chart)	13
10a	Pts incident cohorts and mortality / yr	14
10b	Incidence and mortality by year of diagnosis	15
10c	Cancer-related deaths, death certification available / yr	16
11	Means of age at death / yr	17
12	Mortality by year of death	18
13	Distribution of age at death	19
14	Age-specific mortality	20
15	Multiple primaries in deaths	21
16	Age-specific mortality (first primaries)	22
17	Age-specific mortality (single primaries)	23
18	Age distribution and age-specific mortality (chart)	24
19a	Map of cancer mortality (WS) by county (chart)	25
19b	Standardized mortality ratio (SMR) by county (chart)	26