# **Munich Cancer Registry**



- Baseline statistics
- Selection Matrix
- Homepage
- Deutsch

Munich Cancer Registry at Munich Cancer Center Marchioninistr. 15 Munich, 81377 Germany

http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en


## **Cancer statistics: Survival**

Year of diagnosis	1988-2012
Patients	356
Diseases	356
Cases evaluated	190
Creation date	03/25/2014
Export date	02/12/2014
Population	4.5 m



http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en/facts/surv/surv\_C00\_E.pdf

## C00: Lip cancer



**Figure 1a.** Relative survival of patients with lip cancer by period of diagnosis. Included in the evaluation are 190 cases diagnosed between 1988 and 2012.

The survival results of the SEER program (Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results) of the American National Cancer Institute (NCI) are summarized as the period of diagnosis from 1998 to 2009, and are represented by green diamonds in order to facilitate comparisons between MCR and SEER.

The presented survival curves are derived from clinical records with valid follow-up informations, which means that death certificate cases (DCO) cases are omitted from the analysis. With this one restriction, the MCR has provided populationbased statistics since 1988, collecting data on all cancer cases in the region of southern Bavaria. Historical data of previous time periods can be heavily selected, therefore, univariate survival comparisons of the presented time periods must be carefully considered. Nonetheless, all calculable survival curves are depicted to facilitate the comparison of long time follow-up analyses of relative survival between particular cancers.

	Period of diagnosis				
	1988-1997		199		
	n=	63	n=1	27	
Years	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	
0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
1	96.8	99.1	97.6	99.3	
2	84.1	90.1	89.1	97.0	
3	79.4	87.4	84.4	95.4	
4	73.0	83.4	82.4	94.2	
5	65.1	76.6	76.4	93.1	
6	57.1	70.7	72.0	90.2	
7	54.0	68.1	68.4	89.2	
8	52.3	67.8	64.6	87.4	
9	47.3	63.8	60.2	82.2	
10	45.6	61.5			

**Table 1b.** Observed (obs.) and relative (rel.) survival of patients with lip cancer by period of diagnosis for period 1988-2012 (N=190).





Figure 2a. Survival of patients with lip cancer by gender. Included in the evaluation are 190 cases diagnosed between 1988 and 2012.

	Gender							
	Ma	ale	Female					
	n=1	25	n=	65				
Years	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %				
0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0				
1	96.0	98.7	100.0	100.0				
2	90.3	97.0	81.1	88.8				
3	87.7	97.0	71.9	82.1				
4	84.0	96.6	67.9	79.0				
5	76.3	91.1	63.2	77.3				
6	67.6	84.6	63.2	77.3				
7	64.2	82.2	59.5	76.8				
8	60.6	80.6	59.5	76.2				
9	56.3	76.9						
10	51.7	72.2						

**Table 2b.** Observed (obs.) and relative (rel.) survival of patients with lip cancer by gender for period 1988-2012 (N=190).






**Figure 3a.** Relative survival of patients with lip cancer by age category. Included in the evaluation are 190 cases diagnosed between 1988 and 2012.

	Age category					
	0-64	yrs	65+ yrs			
	n=	83	n=107			
Years	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %		
0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
1	97.6	98.2	97.1	100.0		
2	93.9	94.6	82.0	94.1		
3	91.3	92.8	75.6	92.4		
4	87.2	89.8	72.2	91.0		
5	84.2	87.5	62.0	86.9		
6	80.6	85.5	53.0	79.9		
7	78.8	83.7	48.1	77.4		
8	76.8	83.1	44.7	77.4		
9	76.8	82.7	34.6	65.8		
10	71.9	78.8				

**Table 3b.** Observed (obs.) and relative (rel.) survival of patients with lip cancer by age category for period 1988-2012 (N=190).






**Figure 4a.** Relative survival of patients with lip cancer by TNM staging. For 154 of 190 cases diagnosed between 1988 and 2012 valid data could be obtained for this item. The accumulated percentage exceeds the 100% value because patients are potientially considered in more than one subgroup. The grey line represents the subgroup of 36 patients with missing values regarding TNM staging (18.9% of 190 patients, the percent values of all other categories are related to n=154).

	TNM staging													
	Т	1	Т	2	Т3	+4	N	0	N	+	N	Х	NA/N	NOS
	n=	91	n=	42	n=	16	n=	96	n=	22	n=	16	n=	36
Years	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %	obs. %	rel. %
0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1	98.9	99.2	97.6	100.0			96.9	99.3	90.9	90.1	100.0	97.9	100.0	100.0
2	89.8	96.1	80.7	90.4			83.8	90.8	80.8	80.0			100.0	100.0
3	83.8	91.9	75.2	85.9			77.8	86.9	75.8	78.5			100.0	100.0
4	82.5	91.9	72.4	85.0			75.3	85.3	75.8	77.4			87.1	100.0
5	78.0	91.9	60.7	74.6			72.8	85.3					79.9	96.5
6	74.4	90.2	54.3	69.1			66.9	82.7					71.9	91.8
7	70.7	88.1					62.1	78.6					67.4	89.8
8	70.7	88.1					62.1	78.6						
9	63.8	84.0					58.3	77.8						
10	58.8	77.5					53.8	73.0						

**Table 4b.** Observed (obs.) and relative (rel.) survival of patients with lip cancer by TNM staging for period 1988-2012 (N=283).



**Figure 5a.** Observed post-progression survival of 41 patients with lip cancer diagnosed between 1988 and 2012 (incl. M1). These 41 patients with documented progression events during their course of disease represent 21.6% of the totally 190 evaluated cases. Patients with cancer relapse documented via death certificates only were excluded (n=4, 2.1%). Multiple progression types on different sites are included in the evaluation even when not occuring synchronously. Subgroups with sample size <15 are dropped from the chart.

Medical record documentation often lacks the linguistic severity to distinguish between local relapse, regional lymph node metastasis and distant spread in solid cancers. Frequently, the statement "not specified" is the only information in registries regarding relapse of the disease. The category "All types" denotes all cases who suffered from at least one relapse during the course of disease (incl. primary M1-status). Although, the real number of relapsed patients is likely to be much higher. The accumulated percentage of patients with local relapse or distant metastasis exceeds the 100% value because patients are potientially considered in more than one subgroup.

	Type of progression								
			Distant metastasis Locoregional pro						
		n=41	n=10	n=35					
	Months	%	%	%					
	0	100.0	100.0	100.0					
	12	70.4		71.0					
	24	62.7		58.9					
	36	60.0		55.8					
	48	54.1		55.8					

**Table 5b.** Observed post-progression survival of patients with lip cancer for period 1988-2012 (N=41).

#### Shortcuts

AS Assembled survival chart (observed, expected, relative) CS Conditional survival DCO Death certificate only. The death certificate provides the only notification to the registry. Munich Cancer Registry, Germany (Tumorregister München) MCR NA Not available NCI National Cancer Institute, USA NOS Not otherwise specified Observed/overall survival (Kaplan-Meier estimate) OS PPS Post-progression survival RS Relative Survival. Ratio of observed and expected survival (derived from the normal population) SEER Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results, USA TTP Time to progression Date of entry: Date of diagnosis Event (Progression): First local recurrence, lymph node relapse or distant metastasis, unspecified recurrence First all-cause recurrence is illustrated by survival curves (Kaplan-Meier estimate). First local recurrence, lymph node relapse or distant metastasis are depicted cumulatively, where applicable ("reverse" Kaplan-Meier estimate). UICC Union for International Cancer Control, Geneva

#### **Recommended Citation**

Munich Cancer Registry. Survival C00: Lip cancer [Internet]. 2014 [updated 2014 Mar 25; cited 2014 May 1]. Available from: http://www.tumorregister-muenchen.de/en/facts/surv/surv\_C00\_\_E.pdf

#### Copyright

The content of the public web site provided by the Munich Cancer Registry is available worldwide and free of charge. All documents are free to download, utilize, copy, print-out and distribute, providing that the MCR is referenced.

#### Disclaimer

The Munich Cancer Registry reserves the right to not be responsible for the topicality, correctness, completeness or quality of the information provided. Liability claims regarding damage caused by the use of any information provided, including any kind of information which is incomplete or incorrect, will therefore be rejected.

Munich Cancer Registry

### Index of figures and tables

Fig./Tb	bl.	Page
1a	Relative survival by period of diagnosis (chart)	2
1b	Survival by period of diagnosis (table)	2
2a	Survival by gender (chart)	3
2b	Survival by gender (table)	3
3a	Relative survival by age category (chart)	4
3b	Survival by age category (table)	4
4a	Relative survival by TNM staging (chart)	5
4b	Survival by TNM staging (table)	5
5a	Observed post-progression survival (chart)	6
5b	Observed post-progression survival (table)	6

Munich Cancer Registry